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Climate sensitivity of shrub growth across the tundra biome


Rapid climate warming in the tundra biome has been linked to increasing shrub dominance1–4. Shrub expansion can modify climate by altering surface albedo, energy and water balance, and permafrost5–8, yet the drivers of shrub growth remain poorly understood. Dendroecological data consisting of multi-decadal time series of annual shrub growth provide an underused resource to explore climate–growth relationships. Here, we analyse circumpolar data from 37 Arctic and alpine sites in 9 countries, including 25 species, and ~42,000 annual growth records from 1,821 individuals. Our analyses demonstrate that the sensitivity of shrub growth to climate was: (1) heterogeneous, with European sites showing greater summer temperature sensitivity than North American sites, and (2) higher at sites with greater soil moisture and for taller shrubs (for example, alders and willows) growing at their northern or upper elevational range edges. Across latitude, climate sensitivity of growth was greatest at the boundary between the Low and High Arctic, where permafrost is thawing4 and most of the global permafrost soil carbon pool is stored9. The observed variation in climate–shrub growth relationships should be incorporated into Earth system models to improve future projections of climate change impacts across the tundra biome.

The Arctic is warming more rapidly than lower latitudes owing to climate amplification involving temperature, water vapour, albedo and sea ice feedbacks5,7. Tundra ecosystems are thus predicted to respond more rapidly to climate change than other terrestrial ecosystems1. The tundra biome spans Arctic and alpine regions that have similar plant species pools and mean climates, yet vary in topography, seasonality, land cover and glaciation history. Concurrent with the recent high-latitude warming trend7, repeat photography and vegetation surveys have shown widespread expansion of shrubs1,3, characterized by increased canopy cover, height and abundance. However, climate warming7 and shrub increase10 have not occurred at all sites. Models predict that warming of 2–10 °C (ref. 11) could convert as much as half of current tundra to ‘shrubland’ by the end of the twenty-first century9, but the uniformity of the frequently cited relationship between climate...
change and tundra shrub expansion\textsuperscript{5,12–15} has yet to be quantified across the tundra biome as a whole.

Shrubs are woody perennial species that can live from decades to centuries. In seasonal climates, they form annual growth rings, allowing analysis of radial growth over time. Many shrub species are widely distributed across the tundra biome and are often dominant, owing to their canopy height, longevity and ability to outcompete low-growing plants. With wide geographic distributions and annual growth records, shrubs are ideally suited for quantifying tundra vegetation responses to climate warming. Assembled annual growth records from sites across the tundra biome provide a unique opportunity to test competing hypotheses of shrub responses to climate change over the past half-century.

Previous ecological monitoring and dendroecological studies have identified temperature, growing season length, summer precipitation and snow cover as important variables explaining spatial and interspecific variation in shrub growth\textsuperscript{1,3,10,13,14,16–18}. However, there is a lack of consensus regarding which climate variables best explain growth across all tundra ecosystems. We therefore do not know whether climate–growth relationships are consistent in direction, strength and magnitude among species and among sites where plant composition, climate trends and environmental parameters differ. At present, most large-scale vegetation models assume high climate sensitivity and a uniform growth response to warming among shrub species and populations\textsuperscript{4,10}. These models predict pronounced positive climate feedbacks as a result of tundra vegetation change\textsuperscript{5,8}. Yet, if shrub growth responses to climate are constrained, then changes in shrub dominance should vary regionally, and feedbacks across the tundra biome as a whole could be weaker than predicted at present.

We quantified the climate sensitivity of shrub growth—that is, the strength of relationship between annual growth and climate variables (including temperature and precipitation, specific calculations described below)—to test four hypotheses: (1) The greatest climate sensitivity of growth should occur at northern or high-elevation range edges if plant performance is more climate limited in the harsher growing conditions at range edges than climate is, the strength of relationship between annual growth and summer temperature and (4) the proportion of individuals that had significant linear relationships between growth and summer temperature and (4) the proportion of individuals that had significant linear relationships between growth and summer temperature (the best predictor from the overall analysis). We assessed these indices of climate sensitivity across abiotic (day length, soil moisture, growing season length) and biotic gradients (distance to range edge and species-level maximum canopy height, see Supplementary Information). In Fig. 1, we report both \( \Delta AIC \) and model slopes to illustrate spatial variation in climate sensitivity (all indices reported in Supplementary Fig. 12). In Fig. 2 we report the percentage of models indicating climate (temperature or precipitation) sensitivity in the model comparison analysis; Fig. 3 shows relationships between all four climate-sensitivity indices across different gradients.

Climate–growth relationships were not uniform across the tundra biome (Fig. 1), contrasting with the common assumption used in Arctic vegetation models\textsuperscript{19}. Overall climate sensitivity was high: 76% (35/46) genus-by-site combinations exhibited climate-sensitive growth (Supplementary Table 5). Summer temperature variables best explained variation in shrub growth across the 46 genus-by-site combinations and 33 climate models (Fig. 2), with 46% (21/46) genus-by-site combinations showing positive growth–summer temperature relationships and 17% (8/46) showing negative relationships (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table 5). Individual-level climate sensitivity of growth varied considerably: 5–97% of individuals at each site and \(~36\%\) of all individuals showed significant summer temperature sensitivity (Supplementary Table 5). A moving window analysis demonstrated the relatively consistent
Climate sensitivity of shrub growth was highly heterogeneous across the tundra biome (Fig. 1). Climate sensitivity was greatest in the northwest Russian Arctic and northern Europe, and more heterogeneous among sites in North America (Fig. 1), where many sites exhibited weak relationships between growth and summer temperatures (Supplementary Table 5). Across gradients, climate sensitivity was greater in wetter sites relative to drier sites1. In addition, landscape-level studies of shrub change in northern Alaska showed greater increases in wet floodplains relative to well-drained hill slopes2,10. Our study, using a new circumarctic dendroecological data set consisting of almost exclusively different sites from those in previous studies, also demonstrates broad geographic patterns in the climate sensitivity of shrub growth, with higher climate sensitivity at sites with higher soil moisture. Taken together these results suggest that, with continued warming11, potentially more variable precipitation11 and uncertainty in the future soil moisture regime1,12,13, water availability or flooding could play an increasingly important role in limiting future shrub expansion. However, analyses of plant water availability in tundra ecosystems are limited by the lack of high-resolution soil moisture data24.

In our study, climate sensitivity of shrub growth was greatest at the northern or elevational range margins of individual species (Fig. 3). Climate sensitivity of shrub growth was thus greatest at the transition zone between tall and low shrub tundra (Fig. 1). The largest ecosystem transitions in shrub dominance could occur at these mid-Arctic latitudes, rather than at the northern limits of the tundra biome as a whole. The patterns of climate sensitivity of growth in tundra shrub species can be compared to patterns observed in treeline ecotones. Half of the latitudinal and elevational treelines studied so far have advanced poleward or upslope, often associated with warming27. Temperature sensitivity of tree growth has been found to be highest at the upper or northern-most margin of the forest–tundra transition zone20,27 and moisture sensitivity to be highest at southern or lower range edges28. Our results suggest that for tundra shrubs, both temperature and soil moisture control growth at range edges, whereas further from the range edge other factors such as competition, facilitation, herbivory and disease21 may be more important. Herbivore densities vary spatially and temporally across our study locations12,29, and this could be one of the factors explaining the variation in climate sensitivity. Relationships between the climatic and biotic factors influencing growth are probably complex and deserve greater study.

We find that climate sensitivity of growth is greater for tall shrubs, than for low-statured shrub species (Fig. 3b). This has important implications for Earth system models, as changes in tall shrub cover will contribute more markedly to ecosystem–climate feedbacks than changes in dwarf shrub cover9. Increases in canopy height and abundance of taller species relative to lower-stature shrub species was a major finding of two recent syntheses of plot-based ecological monitoring and passive warming experiments; however, these studies did not include taller alder and willow species10,26. Tall shrub species may more readily exploit favourable climate conditions, particularly at the transition zone from tall to low shrub tundra, by competing for limited light and nutrient resources26. In particular, in contrast to previous work that has not explicitly tested biogeographic patterns of climate sensitivity1, our analysis demonstrates that the climate sensitivity of both tall and dwarf shrub species was often greater towards colder range margins (Fig. 3a). This results in an overall pattern of high climate sensitivity at mid-latitudes, but also high climate sensitivity for some species growing in the High Arctic (Fig. 1).

In conclusion, climate sensitivity of shrub growth is generally high at sites across the tundra biome, which provides strong evidence for the attribution of tundra shrub increases to climate warming4. However, pronounced increases in shrub growth with warming are unlikely to occur in all regions, and the greatest shrub growth responses are instead likely to occur in the transition zone...
between tall- and low-statured shrub tundra and where soil moisture is not limiting. A pressing research question is whether temperature-induced increases in shrub growth will continue to occur at current or accelerated rates or whether factors such as water availability, herbivory, pathogen outbreaks, nutrient limitation or fire will play a greater role in limiting future tundra shrub expansion. Further experimental manipulations of temperature, moisture regime, biotic interactions and atmospheric CO\textsubscript{2} concentration are necessary to predict shrub growth responses under future environmental scenarios. Improved soil moisture records\textsuperscript{24} (resulting from, for example, ESA http://www.esa-soilmoisture-cci.org and NASA http://smap.jpl.nasa.gov) and other locally influenced climate and biological variables and expanded networks of in situ tundra vegetation observations\textsuperscript{1} will further improve predictions. Only with a combination of enhanced ecological monitoring, multifactorial experimentation and additional data synthesis can we make improved projections of vegetation feedbacks to future climate change.

Figure 3 | Climate sensitivity across gradients. a–d, Greater climate sensitivity was found for shrub species growing at sites with a greater number of wet days (a), higher soil moisture (b), closer to northern/elevational range limits (c) and for species with higher maximum canopy heights (d). e, f, Climate sensitivity varied among genera (e; Supplementary Table 2) and between the two growth measures of stem increments and annual ring widths (f). The lines and associated \( p \) values indicate beta regression of the different climate-sensitivity metrics; the shaded areas indicate the 90th quantile of these regressions and the error bars (e, f) indicate the range of values. The distance to the range edge (c) is the distance between the sampling location and the northern or elevation range edge for each species converted to relative latitudes (see Supplementary Information). This gives an index of how far a sample population is located from the maximum extent of the distribution of that species either northward in the Arctic or upslope in alpine tundra.
Methods
Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper.
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Methods

To examine climate sensitivity of tundra shrub growth, we assembled a database of 37 Arctic and alpine sites encompassing 25 species from 8 genera (Supplementary Tables 1 and 2) for a total of 46 genus-by-site combinations, 1,821 individual shrubs, and 41,576 yearly growth measurements. Growth measurements included annual ring widths (35 genus-by-site combinations) and stem increments (11 genus-by-site combinations). Although data collection was not coordinated in advance and includes both published and unpublished data, the resulting data set represents many of the dominant and widely distributed shrub species found across the tundra biome.

To test the correspondence between variation in climate and annual growth, we used monthly Climate Research Unit (CRU) TS3.21 gridded temperature and precipitation data (0.5° resolution, Supplementary Table 3). We found high correlations between the CRU TS3.21 and station data for the 19 sites with a meteorological station in relatively close proximity (Supplementary Table 4).

We used linear mixed models (package nlme, R version 2.15.3) and model selection among 33 candidate models that included temperature and precipitation variables to relate annual growth to climate (Supplementary Tables 5 and 6). We analysed data from 1950 to 2010, the period with the highest quality climate data and overlap between different individual shrub growth time series.

We present four different indices of climate sensitivity for each genus-by-site combination (see above and Supplementary Information). We considered the overall climate sensitivity to be the comparison of the best model to a null model; summer temperature sensitivity was a comparison of only the models containing a summer temperature variable. We then compared the climate sensitivity of growth to environmental and biotic gradients including wet day frequency, soil moisture, distance to nearest range edge and the maximum potential canopy height for the sampled species. Data have been archived at the Polar Data Catalogue (https://www.polardata.ca Ref No. 12131). Detailed methods describing the data and analyses that were used are included in the Supplementary Information.